

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/749,482	12/26/2000	Byoung-Joon Lee	2386.2009-000	4367		
21005	7590 06/24/2005		EXAMINER			
HAMILTON	, BROOK, SMITH &	LEE, CHI HO A				
530 VIRGINIA	A ROAD					
P.O. BOX 913	3	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
CONCORD, 1	MA 01742-9133		2663			

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ŧK			
•		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/749,482		LEE, BYOUNG-JOON			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Andrew Lee		2663			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
 If NO period for reply is specified a Failure to reply within the set or ex 	"FHIS COMMUNICATION. e under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 ailing date of this communication. ve is less than thirty (30) days, a repl bove, the maximum statutory period v tended period for reply will, by statute ter than three months after the mailing	136(a). In no event, how by within the statutory many will apply and will expine, cause the application	wever, may a reply be tim inimum of thirty (30) days e SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONEC	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on 10 D	ecember 2004.					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL							
3) Since this applicatio	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are p 4a) Of the above clai 5)□ Claim(s) is/ar 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are r 7)□ Claim(s) is/ar 8)□ Claim(s) are	m(s) is/are withdrave e allowed. ejected. e objected to.						
Application Papers							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	on is/are: a) acc uest that any objection to the sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) ob drawing(s) be heletion is required if the	d in abeyance. See he drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	• • •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment/s\				•			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PT	O-892)	41	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent	Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te	2.450)		
 Information Disclosure Stateme Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/10/04</u> 		_	Notice of Informal Pa Other:	atent Application (PTC	J-104)		

Application/Control Number: 09/749,482

Art Unit: 2663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Siu et al U.S. Patent Number 6,744,769.

Re Claims 1, 4, 7-9, fig. 5 teaches a path provision tool (selecting a node identification algorithm) that receives a request for O-D path construction and selects an OD ring pair (selecting an initial network processing node...a first node); generating all valid ring sequences (applying the algorithm...to calculate subsequent node); upon obtaining the current path, determining whether the path is better the current best path (making the calculated node the selected node); step 30 repeat the previous steps (c-d) until all ring sequences are examined whereby updated current best path is determined (creating the new ring); step 31 determines whether all OD ring pair are examined (repeating b-e) (See col.6, lines 56 +).

Re Claims 3, 6, refer to fig. 13, that teaches the cost (distance); router in inherently associated with a physical location, a router (node type), and path configuration (network cabling type).

Application/Control Number: 09/749,482 Page 3

Art Unit: 2663

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Siu et al U.S. Patent Number 6,744,769.

Re Claims 2, 5, refer to Claim 1, wherein fig. 5 teaches displaying the optimal path 32 as shown in fig. 12. In this case, it is displayed in X and Y dimension.

Examiner takes official notice that the results can be displayed in 3 dimensions. One skilled in the art would have motivated to display in result in 3 dimensions to display to the network operator.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-3130. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on 571-272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/749,482

Art Unit: 2663

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

